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OGSA-DAI in brief

- Different types of data resources - including relational, XML and files - can be exposed via web services onto Grids.
- A number of popular data resource products are supported.
Local experiments - Set up Info

Data:
- 100K tuples
- 100 bytes average tuple size
- Overall, 10MB of raw data + XML overhead shipped from a WS to a client
- Stored in MySQL

Task
- Retrieve data from store through an OGSA/DAI service
- Send data to client in blocks asynchronously (pull mode) (*)

(*): OGSA-DQP default block size (within Table scan) is 1000 tuples
Overall results

With block sizes slightly larger than 10K tuples, we encounter memory shortage problems.
Remote experiments

Server machine at UoM (rpc248)
Client machine connected to UCY network

Compared with previous slide, optimal block size is 10K instead of 6K.
Performance degradation:
• when block size is 6K (local profiling): 17%
• when block size is 1K (default OGSA-DQP): > 300%
Another setting

Client and server are connected through a rather unstable wireless connection + 100Mps LAN

Now, the optimal size has changed again (8K approx.).
Main observations

The optimal block size depends on
- The actual connection
- The data itself (avg. tuple size)

Main challenges:
- No model
- Significant noise
  - Local minima
- Requirement for fast convergence
On extremum control

Objective: find the (optimal) value of the control variable(s) that yields the maximum (minimum) value of a process output (or performance measure) in the presence of noise.

\[ y = f(x) \]

Extremum controller

\[ x_k \rightarrow y = f(x) \rightarrow y_k \]
Switching extremum control

Let $y$ be the performance metric and $x_k$ the block size at the $k$th step. Then

$$x_k = x_{k-1} - \text{gain} \cdot \text{sign}(\Delta x^* \Delta y)$$

Rationale:
- detect the side of the optimum point where the current block size resides on.

Intuition behind:
- the next block size must be greater than the previous one, if, in the last step, an increase has lead to performance improvement, or a decrease has lead to performance degradation.
- Otherwise, the block size must become smaller.
Extensions

• Linear models are not suitable, thus it is better the gain to be adaptive

\[ \text{gain} = b^* \Delta x^* \Delta y / y \]

• The impact of noise must be mitigated. To this end, the values of \( x, y \) are the average over a window

• To enable continuous search of the block size space, add a dither signal
Evaluation

- We used 5 queries over data from the TPC-H benchmark
Results

Starting point: 1000 tuples, b = 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>query</th>
<th>dynamic policy</th>
<th>static policy (block size fixed at 1000 tuples)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>2.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>2.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>1.192</td>
<td>2.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>2.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance degradation drops by an order of magnitude or less
Intra-query behavior

• The technique is characterized by *stability* and *quick convergence*

• However, *overshooting* is avoided only by imposing hard upper and lower limits.

• Also, the oscillation in individual runs are rather significant
Conclusions

Techniques from control theory (such as extremum control), seem very promising when a performance metric must be optimized on the fly in these conditions.

Future work:
– Investigate model-based techniques
– Investigate more advanced techniques that address the afore-mentioned limitations