



Metrics & Benchmarks

Task 2.3

Marios DikaiakosGeorge TsouloupasDept. of Computer ScienceUniversity of CyprusNicosia, CYPRUS









- Synthetic Codes and Application Kernels with well-defined and understood behaviour.
- Used for capturing performance properties of:
 - Hardware & architecture (NAS, SPEC, SPLASH...).
 - Operating System components.
 - Software systems and applications (e.g. TPC).
 - Various kinds of middleware (more recently).
- Testing conducted with simple (stress testing) or synthetic (mimicking reality) workloads.
- Testing used to capture performance properties (metrics) that characterize properties under observation, discover bottlenecks, etc.
- Properties are defined and interpreted in the context of models for hardware, architecture, available resources, etc.









CG Task 2.3: Mission

- Propose models for a Grid configuration, and metrics to capture and describe concisely:
 - The performance capacity of Grid configurations (VO's).
 - The performance of Grid applications.
- Propose a suite of *representative* benchmarks capturing these metrics.
- Implement and run benchmark-suite on CrossGrid test-bed.
- Make benchmarks and measurements publicly available and analyse results to assess the usefulness of benchmarks in:
 - Identifying factors affecting application performance.
 - Providing early & cheap performance and cost prediction of applications.







CG Task 2.3: Roadmap

- Definition of a model and a suite of metrics.
- Overview of benchmarks for HPC and HTC: SPEC, Linpack, SPLASH, Parkbench, NAS, etc.
- Consolidation of X#-application kernels.
- Definition of CrossGrid Benchmark Suite (CGBS).
- **CGBS** Implementation and Testing.
- Performance Evaluation of benchmark tests.









CGBS Requirements

- Layered X# Architecture & Modular Applications
 - Hierarchical benchmarks.
- Estimating performance capacity (and cost?) of VO components.
 - Need for generic & simple kernels.
- Focusing on X# applications.
 - Need for kernels representative of X# applications.
- Focus on user-friendliness & portals.
 - Web-based access to benchmarks & results.









- Micro-benchmarks and Generic Kernels

- For "stress testing" and identification of basic performance capacity of grid configurations.
- Generic HPC/HTC kernels.
- Computing power (flops), Storage/Network Capacity, cost estimate, etc.

- Application Kernels

- Characteristic of representative CG applications.
- Higher-level metrics (completion time, throughput, speedup...)

- Middleware Kernels

- To investigate the effects of middleware on end-to-end application performance.
- Characterization of middleware performance capacity.









CGBS Deployment

We anticipate:

– Quick tests

- Less accurate estimations quickly.
- Frequent invocations (e.g. by a scheduler to quickly determine site performance).
- Could probably be run at other than idle-time.

- Thorough tests:

- Better suited for a more accurate representation of expected system performance.
- take longer to run and require more resources.
- E.g., in the case of new VO construction.









CGBS Operation

- Benchmark invocation
 - By users through portal.
 - Determine Grid/Site/Host performance
 - Estimate Completion time of application and cost
 - By users/administrators through simple interface
 - Site administration/testing
 - By services
 - Such as a scheduler
 - (Grid Monitoring perhaps)





Interactions with other Tasks

high-level schema

<u> </u>
米米







Benchmark data

– Publication

- via T3.2 ?
- through GIIS ? (i.e. treat it as a site property, makes sense for a resource manager)
- Storage of historical benchmark data (necessary?) in collaboration with T3.2.
 - (Should T2.3 talk OMIS?)









Benchmark targets



- Typical benchmarks will cover (at Grid/Site/Host levels)
 - CPU performance
 - Memory performance/bandwidth
 - Disk (Data Access Opt. T3.4?)
 - Network
- Existing open-source benchmarking code will be used as much as possible
 - (Linpack, e.t.c.)







T2.3 Timeline

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

- M1-3 Requirements definition phase, Overview
- M4-6 Model, Metrics & Benchmark definition
- M7-12 First prototypes CGBS v1.0
- M13-14 Integration with WP4
- M15-18 Benchmark Suite Refinement CGBS v1.1.1
- M19-24 Implement fully Grid-enabled prototypes CGBS v1.1.2
- M25-33 Benchmark evaluation/refinement CGBS v1.2
- M33-36 Finishing phase









Questions & Issues

Foundations for WP2:

- Seeking *realistic* X#-application models representing the deployment of X# applications by the end of CrossGrid:
 - C(C++/FORTRAN)+MPI-G2 expanding over different Grid nodes?
 - Interacting, loosely-coupled modules?
 - Both?
- Need a structured approach for consolidating:
 - End-user requirements for WP2 tools.
 - Kernels of X# applications.
 - Workload descriptions and input data.









Questions to address

Intra-WP2 interactions and overlaps:

- Application kernel consolidation: subject of both Tasks 2.3 and 2.4.
- Common reference model for a Grid architecture.
- Relation between T2.3 Metrics & models, and performance properties and analytical models of T2.4.
- Performance measurement of the CGBS.
- CGBS and performance prediction.
- CGBS as an evaluation vehicle for automatic analysis.









More questions to address

Interactions of CGBS with WP3 and DataGrid:

- Is a model for the middleware needed? Who will provide this?
- Consolidation of middleware services affecting application performance and subject to benchmarking. When are they going to be ready?
- Relation with Performance Monitoring? Will CGBS be used as an accuracy test for Perf. Monitoring or is Perf. Monitoring going to be used for extracting CGBS metrics?
- How are we going to get the resources made available for a particular application?









Other Issues

- Testing and Deployment of CGBS:
- Which X# nodes will be available for early tests and prototypes?
- Mechanisms for collaboration:
- Working meetings.
- Short visits.
- Telephone and VRVS-netmeeting conferences.



