

## Roadmap

Why we need ever-increasing performance.

- Why we're building parallel systems.
- Why we need to write parallel programs.
- How do we write parallel programs?
- What we'll be doing.
- Concurrent, parallel, distributed!

N/I<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

### **Changing times**

- From 1986 2002, microprocessors were speeding like a rocket, increasing in performance an average of 50% per year.
- Since then, it's dropped to about 20% increase per year.


M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

3

## An intelligent solution

 Instead of designing and building faster microprocessors, put <u>multiple</u> processors on a single integrated circuit.



M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

Chapter 2 — Instructions: Language of the Computer

### Now it's up to the programmers

- Adding more processors doesn't help much if programmers aren't aware of them...
- ... or don't know how to use them.
- Serial programs don't benefit from this approach (in most cases).

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved


5


# Why we need ever-increasing performance

- Computational power is increasing, but so are our computation problems and needs.
- Problems we never dreamed of have been solved because of past increases, such as decoding the human genome.
- More complex problems are still waiting to be solved.


M<


Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved











# Why we're building parallel systems

- Up to now, performance increases have been attributable to increasing density of transistors.
- But there are inherent problems.

problems.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

## A little physics lesson



- Smaller transistors = faster processors.
- Faster processors = increased power consumption.
- Increased power consumption = increased heat.
- Increased heat = unreliable processors.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

13

## **Solution**

- Move away from single-core systems to multicore processors.
- "core" = central processing unit (CPU)



Introducing parallelism!!!

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

## Why we need to write parallel programs

- Running multiple instances of a serial program often isn't very useful.
- Think of running multiple instances of your favorite game.
- What you really want is for it to run faster.



M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

15

## **Approaches to the serial problem**

- Rewrite serial programs so that they're parallel.
- Write translation programs that automatically convert serial programs into parallel programs.
  - This is very difficult to do.
  - Success has been limited.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

#### **More problems**

- Some coding constructs can be recognized by an automatic program generator, and converted to a parallel construct.
- However, it's likely that the result will be a very inefficient program.
- Sometimes the best parallel solution is to step back and devise an entirely new algorithm.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

17

## **Example**

- Compute n values and add them together.
- Serial solution:

```
sum = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    x = Compute_next_value(. . .);
    sum += x;
}</pre>
```

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

#### **Example (cont.)**

- We have p cores, p much smaller than n.
- Each core performs a partial sum of approximately n/p values.

```
my_sum = 0;
my_first_i = . . . ;
my_last_i = . . . ;
for (my_i = my_first_i; my_i < my_last_i; my_i++) {
    my_x = Compute_next_value( . . .);
    my_sum += my_x;
}</pre>
```

Each core uses it's own private variables and executes this block of code independently of the other cores.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

19

## **Example (cont.)**

- After each core completes execution of the code, is a private variable my\_sum contains the sum of the values computed by its calls to Compute\_next\_value.
- Ex., 8 cores, n = 24, then the calls to Compute\_next\_value return:

1,4,3, 9,2,8, 5,1,1, 5,2,7, 2,5,0, 4,1,8, 6,5,1, 2,3,9

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

## **Example (cont.)**

Once all the cores are done computing their private my\_sum, they form a global sum by sending results to a designated "master" core which adds the final result.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved


21


## **Example (cont.)**

```
if (I'm the master core) {
    sum = my_x;
    for each core other than myself {
        receive value from core;
        sum += value;
    }
} else {
    send my_x to the master;
}
```

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved





#### **Better parallel algorithm**

- Don't make the master core do all the work.
- Share it among the other cores.
- Pair the cores so that core 0 adds its result with core 1's result.
- Core 2 adds its result with core 3's result, etc.
- Work with odd and even numbered pairs of cores.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved


25

## **Better parallel algorithm (cont.)**

- Repeat the process now with only the evenly ranked cores.
- Core 0 adds result from core 2.
- Core 4 adds the result from core 6, etc.
- Now cores divisible by 4 repeat the process, and so forth, until core 0 has the final result.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved



## **Analysis**

- In the first example, the master core performs 7 receives and 7 additions.
- In the second example, the master core performs 3 receives and 3 additions.
- The improvement is more than a factor of 2!

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

## **Analysis (cont.)**

- The difference is more dramatic with a larger number of cores.
- If we have 1000 cores:
  - The first example would require the master to perform 999 receives and 999 additions.
  - The second example would only require 10 receives and 10 additions.
- That's an improvement of almost a factor of 100!

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved


29


# How do we write parallel programs?


- Task parallelism
  - Partition various tasks carried out solving the problem among the cores.
- Data parallelism
  - Partition the data used in solving the problem among the cores.
  - Each core carries out similar operations on it's part of the data.


M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved









## Division of work – data parallelism

```
sum = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    x = Compute_next_value(. . .);
    sum += x;
}</pre>
```

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

35

# Division of work – task parallelism

```
if (I'm the master core) {
    sum = my_x;
    for each core other than myself {
        receive value from core;
        sum += value;
    }
} else {
        send my_x to the master;
        1) Receiving
}
```

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

#### **Coordination**

- Cores usually need to coordinate their work.
- Communication one or more cores send their current partial sums to another core.
- Load balancing share the work evenly among the cores so that one is not heavily loaded.
- Synchronization because each core works at its own pace, make sure cores do not get too far ahead of the rest.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

37

### What we'll be doing

- Learning to write programs that are explicitly parallel.
- Using the C language.
- Using three different extensions to C.
  - Message-Passing Interface (MPI)
  - Posix Threads (Pthreads)
  - OpenMP

M<


Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

#### Type of parallel systems

- Shared-memory
  - The cores can share access to the computer's memory.
  - Coordinate the cores by having them examine and update shared memory locations.
- Distributed-memory
  - Each core has its own, private memory.
  - The cores must communicate explicitly by sending messages across a network.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved



## **Terminology**

- Concurrent computing a program is one in which multiple tasks can be <u>in progress</u> at any instant.
- Parallel computing a program is one in which multiple tasks <u>cooperate closely</u> to solve a problem
- Distributed computing a program may need to cooperate with other programs to solve a problem.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

41

## **Concluding Remarks (1)**

- The laws of physics have brought us to the doorstep of multicore technology.
- Serial programs typically don't benefit from multiple cores.
- Automatic parallel program generation from serial program code isn't the most efficient approach to get high performance from multicore computers.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

## **Concluding Remarks (2)**

- Learning to write parallel programs involves learning how to coordinate the cores.
- Parallel programs are usually very complex and therefore, require sound program techniques and development.

M<

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved